प्रति,

सचिव
छत्तीसगढ़ राज्य ओपन स्कूल
रायपुर (छ.ग.)

विषय :–उपसचिव, छत्तीसगढ राज्य ओपन स्कूल, रायपुर के पद पर कार्यभार ग्रहण करने उपस्थिति विषयक।

संदर्भ :–मान. उच्च न्यायालय बिलासपुर में दायर याचिका क्र. WPS N0. 8515 of 2024 में पारित आर्डर सीट दिनांक 06.01.2025

—00—

संदर्भित विषयांतर्गत माननीय उच्च न्यायालय बिलासपुर के पारित आदेश दिनांक 06.01.2025 के संदर्भ में मैं उपसचिव छत्तीसगढ़, राज्य ओपन स्कूल के पद पर कार्यभार ग्रहण करने उपस्थित हुयी हूँ। मेरी उपस्थिति स्वीकार कर मुझे उप सचिव पद के दायित्व निर्वहन की अनुमति प्रदान करने का कष्ट करें।

संलग्नः– उपरोक्तानुसार।
दिनांक – 07.01.2025

आवेदिका

07/1/25

(डॉ. श्रीमती नवनीता सिंह)
उप सचिव
छत्तीसगढ़, राज्य ओपन स्कूल
रायपुर (छ.ग.)

प्रतिलिपि :–

01 सचिव, छ.ग. शासन, स्कूल शिक्षा विभाग, मंत्रालय, महानदी भवन, अटल नगर, नवा रायपुर, (छ.ग.) को सादर सूचनार्थ।

02 संचालक, लोक शिक्षण संचालनालय, इंद्रावती भवन, अटल नगर, नवा रायपुर (छ.ग.) को सादर सूचनार्थ।

07.01.25

07/1/25

उप सचिव
छत्तीसगढ़, राज्य ओपन स्कूल
रायपुर (छ.ग.)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPS No. 8515 of 2024

Dr. Smt. Navneeta Singh W/o Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Aged About 56 Years R/o B- 3, Ashoka Park, Shankar Nagar Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh.

2 - Chhattisgarh State Open School Through Secretary, Chhattisgarh Madhyamik Shiksha Mandal Parisar, Pension Bada, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

06/01/2025	Shri Raja Sharma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Abhishek Gupta, PL for the State/respondent No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has served advance copy to Shri Ashutosh Singh Kachwaha, Advocate who was earlier standing counsel of respondent No.2, however it has been stated that he is no more counsel for respondent No.2 and there is no any other standing counsel on behalf of respondent No.2 also, as such notice be issued to respondent No.2.

Issue notice to respondent No.2.

PF and copy within seven days.

Shri Abhishek Gupta, PL appears on behalf of State/respondent No.1. PF need not to be paid for respondent No.1.

Heard on I.A. No.1 application for grant of interim relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without there being any cancellation of deputation order, the petitioner has been sent back by the respondent No.2 by the impugned order dated 13/12/2024 who is not competent to pass such an order. The respondent No.2 is forcing the petitioner not to work as Deputy Secretary in the office of respondent No.2 and they are forcing her to return back to her parent department. Since there is no order for cancellation of deputation, as such the impugned order is required to be stayed.

Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, further considering the fact that the order of deputation was passed by the State Government and it is still in force as it has not been cancelled, I.A. No.1 application for grant of interim relief is hereby allowed.

Effect and operation of impugned order Annexure P-1 dated 13/12/2024 is hereby stayed and the petitioner is allowed to work as Deputy Secretary in the office of respondent No.2 till the next date of hearing.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)

Judge

gauri